# On radiative corrections to electron-proton scattering

V.S. Fadin

**Budker Institute of Nuclear Physics** 

Novosibirsk

The results of study of radiative corrections performed with R.E. Gerasimov

#### **Contents**

- Introduction
- One-loop corrections
  - Virtual corrections
  - Real emission
- Comparison of different approaches
- Summary

There is an evident discrepancy between results of measurements of  $G_E/G_M$  by two methods: Rosenbluth separation

$$\frac{G_E^2}{G_M^2} = \tau \frac{f'(\epsilon)}{f(0)}, \ \ \tau = \frac{Q^2}{4M^2}, \ \ f(\epsilon) = \frac{1}{\tau + \epsilon} \left(\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}\right)_{point}^{-1} \left(\frac{d\sigma^B}{d\Omega}\right),$$

 $\epsilon = (1 + 2(1 + \tau) \tan^2(\theta/2))^{-1}$  – the virtual photon polarization parameter, and polarization transfer at scattering of polarized electron beam on unpolarized target

$$\frac{G_E}{G_M} = -\sqrt{\frac{\tau(1+\epsilon)}{2\epsilon}} \frac{P_T}{P_L},$$

 $P_T(P_L)$  — the polarization of the recoil proton transverse (longitudinal) to the proton momentum in the scattering plane.

Formulas above are obtained in the Born approximation.

## Introduction



$$\Gamma^{\mu}(q) = F_1(Q^2) \gamma^{\mu} + F_2(Q^2) \frac{i\sigma^{\mu\nu}q_{\nu}}{2M},$$
$$Q^2 = -q^2, \quad G_E(Q^2) = F_1(Q^2) - \tau F_2(Q^2), \quad G_M(Q^2) = F_1(Q^2) + F_2(Q^2)$$

The cause of the discrepancy must be understood.

One possible cause is the radiative corrections.

Most dangerous they are for the Rosenbluth method.

At large  $Q^2$  contribution of the term with  $G_E^2$  to the cross section becomes small.

It makes determination of  $G_E$  very sensitive to  $\epsilon$ -dependent corrections.

Account of the radiative corrections in

[1] R. C. Walker et al., Phys. Rev. D 49, 5671 (1994)
[2] J. Arrington, Phys. Rev. C 68, 034325 (2003)
[3] M. E. Christy et al., Phys. Rev. C 70, 015206 (2004)
[4] I. A. Qattan et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 142301 (2005)

must be analyzed.

In these papers, the main theoretical source of the radiative corrections is

Y. -S. Tsai, Phys. Rev. 122, 1898 (1961)

L. W. Mo and Y. -S. Tsai, Rev. Mod. Phys. 41, 205 (1969).

In the following we will call it MoT.

The radiative corrections in this source have factored form:

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} = \frac{d\sigma^B}{d\Omega} (1+\delta)$$

To distinguish corrections of different type let us denote electron charge e and proton one -Ze.

There are virtual (corresponding to elastic process) and real (accounting inelasticity) corrections.

The first order virtual correction proportional to  $Z^0$  come from interference of the Born diagram with the diagrams



 $M_e$ 

#### **Virtual electron correction**

$$\delta_{MoT}^{ve} = \frac{Z^0 \alpha}{\pi} \left( -K(p_1, p_3) + K(p_1, p_1) + \frac{3}{2} \ln\left(\frac{-q^2}{m^2}\right) - 2 \right),$$

where

$$\begin{split} K(p_i, p_j) &= \frac{2(p_i \cdot p_j)}{-i\pi^2} \int \frac{d^4k}{(k^2 - \lambda^2 + i0)(k^2 - 2(k \cdot p_i) + i0)(k^2 - 2(k \cdot p_j) + i0)} \\ &= (p_i \cdot p_j) \int_0^1 \frac{dy}{p_y^2} \ln\left(\frac{p_y^2}{\lambda^2}\right), \end{split}$$

 $p_y = y p_i + (1 - y) p_j$ . The only approximation in calculation of this correction is smallness of  $m^2$ . Explicitly,

$$\delta_{MoT}^{ve} = \frac{Z^0 \alpha}{\pi} \left\{ -\left( \ln\left[\frac{-q^2}{m^2}\right] - 1 \right) \ln\left[\frac{m^2}{\lambda^2}\right] - \frac{1}{2} \ln^2\left[\frac{-q^2}{m^2}\right] + \frac{\pi^2}{6} + \frac{3}{2} \ln\left[\frac{-q^2}{m^2}\right] - 2 \right\}$$

The interference of the Born diagram and the diagram with vacuum polarization gives also correction proportional to  $Z^0$ 



 $M_v$ 

Here, besides electron, muon and  $\tau$ -lepton loops, hadron vacuum polarization must be accounted. For light leptons

$$\delta_{MoT}^{ll} = \frac{Z^0 \alpha}{\pi} \left(\frac{2}{3} \ln\left[\frac{-q^2}{m^2}\right] - \frac{10}{9}\right),$$

for heavy

$$\delta_{MoT}^{hl} = \frac{2Z^0\alpha}{\pi} \left[ \frac{1}{9} - \frac{2q^2 + 4m^2}{3t} \left( 1 - \sqrt{\frac{4m^2 - q^2}{-q^2}} \ln\left(\sqrt{-\frac{q^2}{4m^2}} + \sqrt{1 - \frac{q^2}{4m^2}}\right) \right) \right]$$

These expressions also are well known.

The hadron contribution to the vacuum polarization can not be presented in an analogous form, because it includes strong interaction effect. It is calculated using dispersion relations and  $e^+e^- \rightarrow hadrons$  experimental data. Now this contribution is well known. The virtual correction proportional to  $Z^2$  come from interference of the Born diagram with the diagrams



This contribution can not be calculated "from the first principles". They are evaluated by MoT using the soft photon approximation

$$\delta_{MoT}^{vp} = \frac{Z^2 \alpha}{\pi} \left( -K(p_2, p_4) + K(p_2, p_2) \right).$$

Other evaluations are possible. In the paper

L. C. Maximon and J. A. Tjon, Phys. Rev. C 62 (2000) 054320 which is called in the following MTj, this correction is calculated using dipole or monopole form factors

$$F_1(Q^2) = F_2(Q^2) = \left(\frac{\Lambda^2}{\Lambda^2 + Q^2}\right)^n, \quad n = 1, 2$$

Therefore

$$\delta^{vp}_{MTj} = \delta^{vp}_{MoT} + \delta^{(1)}_{el},$$

where  $\delta_{el}^{(1)}$  is infrared finite.

For the dipole parametrization with  $\Lambda=700$  MeV/c

$$\delta_{el}^{(1)} = 0.0116$$
 for  $Q^2 = 16$  (GeV/c)<sup>2</sup>

In any case, this correction depends only on  $Q^2$ .

The most interesting virtual, proportional to  $Z^1$  corrections, come from the interference of the Born diagram with the diagrams



 $M_{ep}$ 

In their evaluation MoT used the soft photon approximation with additional simplification.

$$\delta_{MoT}^{vep} = \frac{Z^2 \alpha}{\pi} \left( -K(p_1, p_2) - K(p_3, p_4 + K(p_1, p_4) + K(p_2, p_3)) \right),$$

whereas in the soft photon approximation

$$\delta_{sf}^{vep} = \frac{Z^2 \alpha}{\pi} Re \left( -K(p_1, -p_2) - K(p_3, -p_4 + K(p_1, p_4) + K(p_2, p_3)) \right).$$

and

$$-Re[K(p_1, -p_2)] - Re[K(p_3, -p_4)] + K(p_1, p_2) + K(p_3, p_4)$$

$$= \pi^2 - 2 \int_{1-\frac{M}{2\epsilon_1}}^{1+\frac{M}{2\epsilon_1}} \frac{dx}{x} \ln|1-x|$$

But the soft photon approximation in its standard form is not good here. More reliable approximation is used by MTj.

$$\delta_{MTj}^{vep} - \delta_{MoT}^{vep} = 2 Z \frac{\alpha}{\pi} \left( -\ln \frac{\epsilon_1}{\epsilon_3} \ln \left[ \sin \left[ \frac{\theta}{2} \right]^2 \right] - Li_2 \left[ 1 - \frac{2\epsilon_3}{M} \right] + Li_2 \left[ 1 - \frac{2\epsilon_1}{M} \right] \right)$$

The first order real correction proportional to  $Z^0$  come from the diagrams



 $M_e^r$ 

$$\delta_{MoT}^{re} = \frac{Z^0 \alpha}{\pi} \left( \left( \ln \left[ \frac{-q^2}{m^2} \right] - 1 \right) \ln \left[ \frac{\omega^2 m^2}{\lambda^2 \epsilon_1 \epsilon_3} \right] + \frac{1}{2} \ln \left[ \frac{-q^2}{m^2} \right]^2 - \frac{\ln[\eta]^2}{2} - \frac{\pi^2}{6} \right),$$

where

$$\eta = 1 + \frac{\epsilon_1}{M} (1 - \cos \theta))$$

Here the term

$$-\frac{\alpha}{\pi} \left[ \frac{\pi^2}{6} - \Phi[\cos^2(\theta/2)] \right]$$

was omitted in papers MoT. Later on was restored in

and included in experimental papers as  $\delta_{Sch}$  (Schwinger's correction)).

### **Virtual proton correction**

The real correction proportional to  $Z^2$  come from the diagrams



This contribution also can not be calculated "from the first principles". They are evaluated by using the soft photon approximation.

$$\delta_{MoT}^{rp} = Z^2 \frac{\alpha}{\pi} \left( \left( \frac{\epsilon_4}{|\mathbf{p}_4|} \ln[x] - 1 \right) \ln\left[ \frac{4\omega^2}{\lambda^2} \right] - \frac{\epsilon_4}{|\mathbf{p}_4|} \left( \ln[x]^2 + Li_2 \left[ -\frac{1}{x^2} \right] + \frac{\pi^2}{12} \right) + \ln\left[ \frac{2\epsilon_4}{M} \right] + \ln[2] \right)$$

But in derivation of this result there is the error. Correct result is given by MTj.

$$\delta_{MTj}^{rp} - \delta_{MoT}^{rp} = Z^2 \frac{\alpha}{\pi} \left( -\frac{\epsilon_4}{|\mathbf{p}_4|} \left( Li_2 \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{x^2} \right] - Li_2 \left[ -\frac{1}{x^2} \right] - \frac{\pi^2}{12} \right) + \frac{\epsilon_4}{|\mathbf{p}_4|} \ln[x] + 1 - \ln\left[ \frac{2\epsilon_4}{M} \right] - \ln[2] \right)$$

The most interesting real correction, proportional to  $Z^1$ , comes from the interference diagrams with electron and photon emission.

$$\delta_{MoT}^{rep} = 2Z\frac{\alpha}{\pi} \left( \ln[\eta] \ln\left[\frac{4\omega^2}{\lambda^2}\right] + \frac{1}{2}Li_2\left[1 - \eta\frac{2\epsilon_4}{M}\right] - \frac{1}{2}Li_2\left[1 - \frac{1}{\eta}\frac{2\epsilon_4}{M}\right] \right)$$

Again there is an error here. Correct result is given by MTj

$$\delta_{MTj}^{rep} - \delta_{MoT}^{rep} = 2 Z \frac{\alpha}{\pi} \left( -\ln[\eta] \ln[x] - Li_2 \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{\eta x} \right] + Li_2 \left[ 1 - \frac{\eta}{x} \right] - \frac{1}{2} Li_2 \left[ 1 - \eta \frac{x^2 + 1}{x} \right] + \frac{1}{2} Li_2 \left[ 1 - \frac{x^2 + 1}{\eta x} \right] \right)$$



Figure 1: Difference at  $Q^2 = 1 \ GeV^2$ .



Figure 2: Difference at  $Q^2 = 3 \ GeV^2$ .



Figure 3: Difference at  $Q^2 = 5 \ GeV^2$ .



Figure 4: Difference at  $Q^2 = 10 \ GeV^2$ .

### Summary

- There is an evident discrepancy between results of measurements of  $G_E/G_M$  by two methods.
- There are no strict theoretical justifications for any of these results.
- But the result obtained by Rosenbluth separation method seems less reliable.
- There are evident shortages in account of radiative corrections in this method.
- It is desirable to understand influence of these shortages on the result.
- It is very desirable to perform accurate account of radiative corrections in two-photon exchange experiments in such a way that it will be possible to connect results of different experiments.