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## Ternary Fission



In ternary fission besides the two
Fission Fragments (LF +HF) a third light charged particle (TP) is emitted.

Most Ternary Particles (TP) are $\alpha$ `.
Based on the characteristics of angular distributions, two categories of TPs are distinguished :

Equatorial and polar TPs, the fission axis serving as polar axis.
TP emission angles $\theta$ are given relative to the direction of flight of the LF.
The average $\theta$ is $\langle\theta\rangle \approx 82^{\circ}$.

## Transition States

For fission induced in fissile nuclei by thermal neutrons the transition states at the saddle point of deformation lie in the gap between the barrier and single-particle excitation. The transition states are hence collective in character


## Experimental Setup

The polarised cold neutron beam hits the ${ }^{235} \mathrm{U}$ target and defines the +Z axis.


Fission fragments are detected by MWPCs mounted on the $x$ axis.

Ternary Particles are intercepted by 8 SBDs which are positioned on the y axis.

Reference reaction:
Light Fragment towards +x
Ternary particles towards $\mathbf{+ y}$

TARGET : ${ }^{235} \mathrm{U}$

## The ROT EFFECT in experiment



Reference reaction:
Light Fragment to the Left
Ternary Particle Upwards

For neutron spin polarized along the z-axis the Asymmetry

$$
A_{z}=\left(N_{+z}-N_{-z}\right) /\left(N_{+z}+N_{-z}\right)
$$

is measured by the spin flip technique.
For the reference reaction a pattern of signs for the asymmetry A is observed. All other reaction types fit into the same scheme with due account of sign flips.

The pattern suggests a rotational shift of ternary particle emission.

## The Size of the ROT Effect



## Angular Distribution of Ternary Particles



Angular distributions of ternary particles are given for the angle $\theta$ between Light Fragment and Ternary Particle.

The average anlge is $\theta \approx 80^{\circ}$.
SBDs $1,2,5$ and 6 were centered at the angle $\theta= \pm 68^{\circ}$. SBDs $3,4,7$ and 8 were cenetred at the angle $\theta= \pm 112^{\circ}$.

## MODEL for ROT EFFECT



Angular Distributions for
Detector Combination: LEFT - UP

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{Z}>0 \longleftrightarrow \omega_{Z}>0 \\
& P_{Z}<0 \quad \longleftrightarrow \omega_{Z}<0
\end{aligned}
$$



$$
\omega_{Z}=0 \text { at } t=. .10^{-21} \mathrm{~s}
$$

## Fissioning Rotating Nucleus

Following capture of a polarized neutron the nucleus is polarized

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
P\left(J^{+}\right)=\frac{(2 I+3)}{3(2 I+1)} \cdot P_{n} & \text { for } \mathrm{J}^{+}=\mathrm{I}+1 / 2=4 \hbar \\
P\left(J^{-}\right)=-P_{n} / 3 & \text { for } \mathrm{J}^{-}=\mathrm{I}-1 / 2=3 \hbar
\end{array}
$$

and may perform a
collective rotation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E_{\text {rot }}=\frac{\mathrm{h}}{2 \mathfrak{I}_{\perp}}\left(J(J+1)-K^{2}\right) \\
& \omega^{2} \mathfrak{S}_{\perp}^{2}=\mathrm{h}^{2} \cdot\left(J(J+1)-K^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$




## Trajectory Calculations

While system rotates the Ternary Particle is carried along but lags behind

Figure is for „Left-Up"

## Difference in angle between LF and TP, and particle positions as a function of time



Only in the first $10^{-22} \mathrm{~s}$ the TP follows closely the rotation of the mother nucleus (the light fragment).


Trajectory calculations show that in the first $\Delta \mathrm{t}=10 \cdot 10-22 \mathrm{~s}=1 \mathrm{zs}$ the $\alpha$-particles travel about 10 fm

## Fragment Spin and TP Emission



Spins of Fission Fragments

1) Spins are large: up to $10 \hbar$ and beyond
2) Spins do not depend on compound spin
3) Spins are oriented $\perp$ fission axis
4) In the fashionable bending model of FF spin a correlation spin $\leftrightarrow$ TP emission is suggested


In ${ }^{252} \mathrm{Cf}(\mathrm{sf})$ experiment by Yu.Kopatch et al. (1999) :

1) Anisotropy of ( $\gamma, L F$ ) correlation
the same in bin. and ternary Fission
2) No correlation ( $\gamma, T P$ ) is observed though expected in case $\mathbf{I}_{\mathrm{FF} \perp} \perp \mathbf{p}_{\mathrm{TP}}$

Conclusion
no correlation between
Spin of FF and TP


## Dependence of ROT Effect on TP Energy





Reaction ${ }^{\mathbf{2 3 5}} \mathbf{U}(\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{f})$ from Run 6:
The modulus of ROT Asymmetry $|A|$ increases with $E_{T P}$ for small $\theta$ but decreases with $E_{T P}$ for large $\theta$

## ROT EFFECT for Y- and X- POLARISATION



For Polarisation along
Y-axis determine

$$
A_{Y}=\left(N_{+y}-N_{-y}\right) /\left(N_{+y}+N_{-y}\right)
$$

Observed:

$$
|A|=1.1(3) \cdot 10^{-3}
$$

For Polarisation along
X-axis determine
$A_{x}=\left(N_{+x}-N_{-x}\right) /\left(N_{+x}+N_{-x}\right)$
Observed:

$$
A=0.06(15) \cdot 10^{-3}
$$



## The TRI Effect in Experiment

$$
\text { Reaction: }{ }^{233} \mathrm{U}\left(\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{th}}, \mathrm{f}\right)
$$



Experimental Setup
Detector combination chosen for reference:
Light Fragment to the Left MWPC
Ternary Particles to upward array of PIN diodes


From Run 2

## Experimental Result

Evaluate Asymmetry $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{z}}$

$$
A_{z}=\left(N_{+z}-N_{-z}\right) /\left(N_{+z}+N_{-z}\right)
$$

Note the constancy of $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{Z}}$

## The TRI - Effect

Originally the experiment was motivated by the search for a T-odd triple correlation B :


Standard : LF to the Left and TP Upwards
$n$-spin $s_{Z}=+1 / 2 \hbar$
n-........... $\quad n-s p i n ~$
$s_{Z}=-1 / 2 \hbar$

In the example D would be $\mathrm{D}>0$

$$
\mathrm{B}=\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot\left[\mathbf{p}_{\mathrm{LF}} \times \mathbf{p}_{\mathrm{TP}}\right]=\mathbf{p}_{\mathrm{TP}} \cdot\left[\boldsymbol{\sigma} \times \mathbf{p}_{\mathrm{LF}}\right]
$$

(note: all vectors are unit vectors)
Expected angular distribution of TPs :

$$
\mathrm{W}(\theta) \mathrm{d} \Omega \sim\{1+\mathrm{DB}(\theta)\} \mathrm{d} \Omega
$$

where D measures size of correlation.
Experiment: $\mathrm{D}=\left(\mathrm{N}_{+\mathrm{z}}-\mathrm{N}_{-\mathrm{z}}\right) /\left(\mathrm{N}_{+\mathrm{z}}+\mathrm{N}_{-\mathrm{z}}\right)$
Result : count rates for LF to the Left and TP upwards are different

$$
\text { for } s_{Z}=+1 / 2 \hbar \text { and } s_{Z}=-1 / 2 \hbar \text {, }
$$

but (almost) independent of angle $\theta$.
This is visualised in the figureto the left.
Note difference between TRI and ROT

$$
\text { For }{ }^{233} \cup(n . f): D \approx-4 \cdot 10^{-3}
$$

## Naive Model of the TRI Effect

Equation of Motion in the intrinsic coordinate system of a rotating nucleus

$$
\mathrm{mdv} / \mathrm{dt}=-\partial U / \partial \mathbf{r}+2 \mathrm{mv} \times \boldsymbol{\omega}+\mathrm{m} \boldsymbol{\omega} \times(\mathbf{r} \times \boldsymbol{\omega})+\mathrm{m} \times \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{\omega} / \mathrm{d} t
$$

Conservative + Coriolis + Centrifugal + Catapult Forces


Note : the Coriolis force and the catapult force may cancel each other

## Disentangle ROT - and TRI - Effects

in the reaction ${ }^{233} \mathrm{U}(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{f})$ from ILL Run 3


The dependence of asymmetry on angle LF-TP is analysed in terms of a constant TRI effect plus an angle dependent ROT effect

Preliminary result: TRI effect: $\mathrm{D} \approx 3.5 \cdot 10^{-3}$;
ROT effect: $\left|A_{z}\right| \approx 1 \cdot 10^{-3}$ at angles $70^{\circ}$ and $95^{\circ}$

## Setting up the neutron beam



## The chamber is approaching



## The chamber is landing



## The chamber is in place



## The experiment is ready to start



## Energy distributions of TPs for given LF-TP angles



Reaction ${ }^{233} \mathrm{U}(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{f})$
from RUN 3
Polar TPs from LF come in Figure at angles from $42^{\circ}$ to $70^{\circ}$.
They exhibit a constant TP energy

Polar TPs from HF come in Figure at angles form $110^{\circ}$ to $138^{\circ}$.
They exhibit a constant TP energy

Equatorial TPS come in Figure at angles from $62^{\circ}$ to $130^{\circ}$. They exhibit a TP energy which increases from small to large angles

## Energy of TPs for angles $>80^{\circ}$

CONJECTURE :
EQUATORIAL TPs
POLAR TPs
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Energy of TPs for angles < $100^{\circ}$
CONJECTURE: EQUATORIAL TPs
POLAR TPs














BBB

## Energy of TPs for angles < $100^{\circ}$

CONJECTURE : EQUATORIAL TPs
POLAR TPs














## Polar and Equatorial TPs in the ROT Effect




Reaction ${ }^{235} \mathrm{U}(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{f})$ from Run 6:
The modulus of ROT Asymmetry $|A|$ increases with $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{TP}}$ for small $\theta$
but decreases with $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{TP}}$ for large $\theta$
polar
equatorial

